

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
CENTRAL DIVISION

<p>ELIZABETH BENNS, Plaintiff,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>UTAH OFFICE OF CRIME VICTIM REPARATION, Defendant.</p>	<p>MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF’S SECOND REQUEST FOR COUNSEL</p> <p>Case No. 2:10-CV-1242 TS</p>
---	---

Plaintiff Elizabeth Benns has filed a *pro se* complaint alleging employment discrimination in violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act¹ and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended,² and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.³ Plaintiff now moves, for a second time, for appointment of counsel.

¹42 U.S.C. § 12112.

²42 U.S.C. § 2000 et seq.

³Pub. L. 102-166.

Plaintiff has no constitutional right to counsel.⁴ However, the Court may in its discretion appoint counsel for indigent plaintiffs.⁵ “The burden is upon the applicant to convince the court that there is sufficient merit to [her] claim to warrant the appointment of counsel.”⁶

When deciding whether to appoint counsel, this Court should consider a variety of factors, “including ‘the merits of the litigant's claims, the nature of the factual issues raised in the claims, the litigant's ability to present [her] claims, and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims.’”⁷ The Court previously concluded that, on initial review, Plaintiff’s claims may not be colorable, the issues in this case are not complex, and Plaintiff is not at this time too incapacitated or unable to adequately function in pursuing this matter.

Plaintiff renews her request on the basis that having to deal with this matter without counsel has increased her conditions related to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (“ADHD”), anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder. She has included a letter from her psychologist which asks the Court to appoint counsel because the stress involved may result in “further decompensation.”

The Court denies for now Plaintiff’s second request to appoint counsel. The Court is concerned of the precedent it would set if it appointed counsel in the early stages of a civil action

⁴See *Carper v. Deland*, 54 F.3d 613, 616 (10th Cir. 1995); *Bee v. Utah State Prison*, 823 F.2d 397, 399 (10th Cir. 1987).

⁵See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1).

⁶*McCarthy v. Weinberg*, 753 F.2d 836, 838 (10th Cir. 1985).

⁷*Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995) (quoting *Williams*, 926 F.2d at 996); accord *McCarthy*, 753 F.2d at 838-39.

because of the concerns that moving forward with the action would cause stress on behalf of a party. It is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Second Motion to Appoint Counsel (Docket No. 7) is
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

DATED February 17, 2011.

BY THE COURT:



TED STEWART
United States District Judge